Exh. No.

Def. Doc. No. 2519

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST THE UNITED STATES OF .MERICA. et al

-VS-

ARAKI Sadao, et al

- Defendants -

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

TODOROKI Sakae

I entered the YOMIURI Press in October 1917 and am now director of The YOMIURI a repatriate relief

association juridical person in the press company.

At the time of the Manchurian Incident I was a reporter of the YOMIURI Press and in charge of reporting on the Army. Therefore I was favored with a chance of seeing Minister of War ARLKI often. In my touch with him I learned by his talks and deeds that he was unlike other soldiers, a humanitarian and pacifist. I deeply respected him, and our intercourse has lasted till today.

During my journalist life connected with the Army the affairs that I came in touch with were the

March Affair, the Manchurian Incident and the October Incident in 1931, the May 15 Incident in 1932, the SHIMPEITAI Incident in 1933, the AIZAWA Incident in 1935 and the February 26 Incident in 1936.

In the summer of 1931, Mr. ARAKI was transferred from the position of the 6th Division Commander at KUMAMOTO to that of the Chief of the General Affairs Department of the Inspector General of Military Training. His new position, a mere administrative Training. His new position, a mere administrative official, in the Inspectrate General of Military Training, had scarcely any relation with the central administration. Therefore we reporters, paid him no attention.

In that autumn, however, the so-called October Incident broke out, when the officers who had participated in it recommended him as a leader of military administration because of his noble character. At once he declined the offer. On the night of the Incident, at the request of Minister of War MINAMI, he went to their meeting place, and asserted at the risk of his life that such a private antion should not be allowed in view of the fundamental character of the Imperial Army. He persuaded them with the law of justice to give up the plot. This won the admiration of the Army authorities and sincers civilians. It was then that I for the first time noticed ARAKI who was a great incarnation of anti-Fascism. (The participants of the Incident were arrested by gendarmeries by orders of Minister of War MINAMI. Later they were handled with administrative measures.

It was at a press interview several days after his appointment of the Minister of War that I saw him in person. At that time he explained about three principles. The first; the Manchurian Incident with its hostilities should be settled at the earliest possible

Confused views resulted from the low-webbed administration led to various disgraceful affairs.

Minister of War ARAKT ascribed them to a deeprooted evil for many years. Unless fundamental
counter-measures were taken, such an incident would
break out one after another and might develop internationally. Therefore, he said, steps should be taken
to make the community bright from its bottom. In the
autumn of 1933, he submitted a memorial for a petition
of an Imperial amnesty to Premier SAITO. For he
thought, before establishing national policies for
stabilizing the internal and external situations, that
by an Imperial amnesty criminals, especially political
and thought criminals, whether they were leftists or
rightists would be released and appreciate the
Imperial benevolence and would start a new life. While
Premier SAITO was deliberating it, Minister of War ARAKI
resigned from office. As a result if was not realized.
Later he revealed his great regret that fundamental policies
could not be established to stabilize the national and
international situations.

In autumn when the Manchurian Incident was finally settled by the TANGKU Truce Treaty, Minister of War ARAKI proposed, as one of the above-mentioned stabilizing policies, to hold a Far Eastern peace conference with a view to adjusting Japan's international relations after her withdrawal from the League of Nations, having the world to understand the real aspects of peace restored in the East, and solving impending issues with Russia by diplomatic negotiations. The Foreign Office authorities, however, could not understand ARAKI's ideal and did not actively support it for the reason of impracticability Moreover, ARAKI's resignment on account of illness made it impossible to realize to a great disappointment of pacifists. But its basic principles were decided in the first Five Ministers Conference and a communique about it was anounced by the Government in the next new year. It stated briefly and effectively the necessity of security of peace and national defence and international cooperation. We in the press fully recognized Minister of War ARAKI's effects toward internal and external peace after concluding the TANGKU Truce Pact.

In January, 1934, just before his resignation, he submitted to Premier SAITO, though he was ill in bed, his basic suggestions of emergency policies, which were a summary of what he had thought to be important national policies. Thus he asked the Government to cope with the situation. The SAITO Cabinet, however, had not sincere intention of its realization. Later such a conference as a Five Ministers talk came to be held no more, The Diet sessions were full of political criminations and recriminations with a result of the downfall of the cabinet. Knowing this on his return to Tokyo from his recuperation at ATAMI, ARAKI expressed a great regret and anxiety about the future of the East. It happened later that Minister of War HAYASHI was going to resign and that ARAKI was asked to succeed him again. But he declined on the ground that his policies would not be materialized by such an insincere cabinet even if he became a cabinet member again.

The SHIMPEITAI Incident was made up of extreme rightists. They planned to assassinate Minister of War ARAKI together with other cabinet members, because they thought that his Manchurian policies and international

Def. Doc. # 2519 cooperation were not of a positive nature. The plan was detected before realization and all the concerned were arrested. Those who had mistaken ARAKI to be a leader of Facism came to know his true intentions At the time of the February 26 Incident, 1936, after assacinating some chief vassals, chief leaders of the direct action visited Minister of War KAWASHIMA by this incident. at his official residence. They told him how it had been carried out and how it should be handled. Showing him definite measure of liquidating the Army, they demanded him to execute them. After the settlement of the Incident Gen. KAWASHIMA, Yoshiyuki

talked about it, partly as follows.

"I told them that the incident was so serious that I could not handle it for myself. I asked them if I could talk it over with Gen. ARAKI, Supreme War Councillor calling him there. But they declined Gen. ARAKI's presence saying, 'We fear our morale would be deteriorated by listening to Gen. ARAKI preaching on the spirit of the Imperial Army and the

Japanese spirit. He added that it was made clear that this was because his sound, unbiased idea and character had been kept at a distance by those young officers of

direct action. We also thought so. Just after the Incident there were some who insisted that all the generals in active service should be released to reserve service assuming responsibility for the confusion in the Army. And six generals took the necessary procedure for it at the same time, but ARAKI opposed to such a step expressing his belief that it was on such an occasion of confusion that he should serve with all his might, and that he should strive for its solution at the sacrifice of his life. By the advice of one of his friends, however, he resigned from the post of the Supreme War Councillor and was transformed to reserve service. and was transferred to reserve service. Regarding this I heard two different apinions from army officers. Some said that LR/AI was shamless

sticking to his post. Others said that though he was moderate his sense of responsibility was keen, considering national affairs more important than praise or blame about his person. The former intended to expel ARAKI from the Army, while the latter wanted him to remain in the Army.

I was strongly impressed with him especially at the time of the SHINGHAI Incident. He had been very anxious about it. But once it was decided by a cabinet conference that the Army should give aid to the Navy. he had a very firm resolution for its earliest settlement, because even by one mismanagement it would be seriously aggrovated. He tried hard. At first the situation was beyond his control, but by his last resort the hostilities ceased in three days. Then, after the truce, he decisively evacuated all the military forces from there regardless of some objection.

Later the Chinese made use of it for their habitual propaganda that the Japanese forces were weak. When this propaganda adversely affected the situation in Manchuria, ARAKI complained how unmanage-

able the Chinese were. I often saw that movie, "Japan in Emergency." I never felt anything aggressive from the movie. reporters said the same. In the movie RKI explained his long-cherished KODO (the Imperial Way) and emphasized the fundamental character of the Imperial

Def. Doc. # 2519

Army. There were scenes of an officer talking with a farmer and of the GINZA street which symbolized the decadent Japan at that time, I remember. We felt he pointed out the cribis Japan was confroated with and asked for retrospection and evakening on the part of the nation through his movie ARAIL's own nable ideas impressed as deeply, which reant by no means aggression or wilful actions in Mancharia.

DefDoC-2519

=

EXh. No

11 加 分 11 福 供 亚 我 湴 米 宣 國 敦 利 木 = 3/ 加 對 審 行 合 貞 1 컜 供 N 國 夫 N 其 述 方 他 6 式 音 = 從 供 . 述 E 先 潜 ッ 别 紙 等 1 通 K IJ 宣 カ 鬱 ラ 寫 薨 V 及 N Ŀ

次

其

他

Dof. Doc. #2519

三 M 71. 施 私 水 等 和 和 私 中 Fi 任: 完 14 然 B b 且. 部 動 六 か 6 八 水 し た 3 せ 護 霉 病 相 が VC 空 年 年 3 氏 T る K N 件 夜 册 會 上 ٤ 1 軍 0 0 b は 本 東 で 同 專六 K 爭 は 通 9 擔 三 神 昭 京 京 部 年 0 畑 當 月 兵 和 K to 長 秋 係 軍 b 記 歐 學 to 來 六 0 0 な 大 得 有 件 事 年 5 仕 所 た 要 を 義 私 目 面 ٤ 時 た 件 0 れ 事 的 賣 K 違 滿 代 0 夏 京 0 + 校 K 行 新 說 か な .0 洲 K で 昭 敎 L 性 月 ょ 蓬 聞 動 凞 和 等 於 育 た 質 專 か b 居 は 記 る て 逵 + 總 か 上 許 彼 件 5 機 者 道 彼 年 監 甲 線 單 て 等 3 ٤ VC 感 主 會 を 接 0 央 部 < 荒 政 0 3 彼 穀 VC 激 尊 本 政 我 築 府 木 べ 等 若 惠 敬 聞 治 部 K が 0 で ٤ 長 新 其 首 所 て n 3 今 70 ح 無 開 0 凹 K 2 京 日 5 昭 L 記 K 至 軍 迤 中 平 和 T 係 潜 牆 b た る 彼 和 七 熊 な 0 彼 齡 ٤ 年 16 本 敎 生 高 礼 省 交 0 鸽 育 意 際 有 年 TI. 總 六 を な た 0 3 名 師 監 引 3 を 危 念 3 T

4

事

P

あ

ŋ

卽

座

K

r

た

る

阜

軍斥

のけ

險

願

4

軍ず

は

專

が

部

0

墨

務

長

L

b

虈

1

な

事

件.

件昭昭すの

事

彼

交

際

居

b

京 は

TI.

亭

件

六

靐

VC

入

社:

現

在

同

社

財

法

人

讀

賣

海

外.

引

揚

同

か

-12

政的補の私受は 常 3 厨 は 5 知 治る洲席が け南木 經 5 颓 る 者 力道にな ٤ や事事 上親 要 は望 で 相 極義 合い で 外 第 變 大交 た 大 すか は あ < 日 桌 を = 7 3 5 混 0 日 b 荒 が t は の確 立道だ亂不國 木 支 京 記 b 眞 ٤ 之 を振 L 5 L 內 全 民 者 0 等 フ 星に的 面た 會 差兵 3. 狀 な 2 し憤に筍 其 見止 けれに 予 事 勢 て激励 突 時 0 め れを對 ٤. 想 で し居 し民 に彼 保 ば古 だ で 7 な今此 3 てや な は 0 洲 自が共 軍 三 は般 檢 切 5 K 5 た 0 之產 つ彼 - 國 存 ぬ通覚 人な 心 つ 現 等黨 OV が民 0 第じ 老 :管 K 7 方陸 K はに思よ ٤ 三て 促 反 頗 認 何共想 9 針相は は L 省 3 を 鳴 かに VC に知 御 九 認 5 自 冷 し依急就就 ら、其 景ず 勍 \$ 而议 冕 評 任さ後 た然速 Va 至 Z 日 K たい て しれ夫た 盟 0 本 b 促 神 n る兵 記 玄 天のナ た が 洲 行チ遊蘭明 數せ夫 中 地 事 に堕 を 日 んれ事 眞 外 0 < 變 に公べか無饋 交 後 で 行 急 劍 施道をぶ自定 L 0 政 速 務 越 K 眞れ覺すた記 た的 處 當 K 畝 のたのべ第 者 0 際 理 局 T 會 分 喜 人 偷 道 り徒 協 0

六

5

T

調必

を

0

すと

K

では見

3

汉

訊

九 **以**民 18 温 と 門 1 1 2 iż 10 MG 件 ۵ 掘 新 K k 4 143 平 4) Li 出 Ti 九 . 2 tc. し 4 級 湘 將 分 保 决 づ 沙 光 3 風 1 4 は なっ 部! 校 序 3 布 五 かい 7. E W. 1,1 斯 遂 于 it 相 i 14 は -6 豐 手 次 次 < 3 自 然 YLI 小 た は 領 技 悲 官 h: 固 頂 1 安 ٤ な 民 13 to. 俩 あ 1= T 懫 . i 確 行 間 3 G 起 凝 3 柳 18 皇 ٨ 慷 1= T 行 3 ば 北 1 处 ٤ 袼 111 军 艇 全 O ٤ to 直 1. 起 智 3 語 中 百 意 0 100 目 T 4 气 接 生 釰 G # 11 識 士: 4 的 は 4 行 か 1) 民 20 n 2 L 12 13 智 以 助 0 戒 か 去 た 强 T 左 統 外 加 殿 微 O な h ch か 型 淀 翻 1-青 令 15 VJ 0) 兎 17 評 局 L な et 智 年 意 大 n B. THE. 角 長 9 T 12 何 か 見 布 mf N's ば 12 U 1= in 糸 Lis 智 3 洨 は 7.5 評 於 171 创 健 5 江 1/2 石山 2 潍 だ 範 兒 20 T [7] 70 馬 th. 2 h 氣 雷 75 角 づ 中 iF. -20 8 的 i L 脈 iï VT 12 1 11 LE U 1-1. T 70 ٤ is 7 智 18 F 11 12 來 11 8 H ٨ 是 O 當 0 10 3 智 隉 瓜之 口 11 股 Ł 亚 4 1/2 首 n 月 物 以 1. 首 局 人 PLZ. Ŀ 4) た 民 4 D T 13 智 長 Li 据 n ٤ 海 0) 南 1 は 見 to 中 1-并 は 1. 3 A 央 公 0 O 於 は 7 黑 险 你 か O 必 浦 111 1/2 U T O 行 相 1-は 123 塾 11 中 O 11 4 强 黨 頭 1 4 局影 hat. 和 10 1 O 居 虐

局

116

水

0)

TI.

視

智

国

得

4

電

行

20

由

ی

L

T

的

カ

3

湿

度

1.

至

5

な

3

7

٤

谎

水

0

病

氯

退

任

爲

的

平

TI

主

當

當

U

3

大

幫

0)

IN I

派

M

幣

L

裒

洋

0

4

和

恢

復

0)

實

相

2

世

郭

的

74

14

0)

梁

B

外

交

交

涉

解

決

世

2

٤

雷

[]]

L

力さ

之

11

肝

173

13

6

0)

外

交

+ 3 民 沂 理 施 加 脸 * O 0 熄 1 O 立. 白 相 政 to で 犯 117 播 運 5 T 77 否 11 出 退 罪 711 3 n C 12 洲 外 與 O 3 间 本 幣 值 た 1 11 宋 的 n 年 1 4 L 至 總 "ALE は O 1. 政 P ङ h ٤ 10 管 Til 絕 世: 秋 治 3 蓝 塘 な 殘 0 年 0) 內 思 社 寫 念 Z) x iá 8 0 中 想 外 7 M O 7 協 6 言 徐 智 戴 犯 は 72 定 II. 幣 思 势 朗 0 \$ 研 0) T 1= 智 件 た 想 7. 温 1. 宏 70 15 究 1. 洩 依 17 智 0) 根 す 75 定 大 智 3 Et. 7 裒 混 本 3 赦 0 ح 相 2 4 -凯 大 的 统 FV 0 VT 11 12 77 年 微 30 恩 災 求 T L 9 V. そ 尚 澍 Ash 典 16 山 智 a 直 立 T 1 加工 3 T 害 智 3 1.1 1. m 4 提 危 0) is 土 完 3 3 唱 12 VT 13 た ち 忙 ud ٤ 1...1 0 16 h 國 左 U 忧 T 前 13 7,0 LR 5 天 大 Ġ O 3 的 1. H 皇 図 相 22 水 13 3 1 14 Ŀ 0) 說 は M 170 聯 買 Ľ, 11 7 0 详 外 盟 木 就 2 滩 任 安 隐 脸 法 政 \$2. 慈 5 言 定 相 退 令 た 3 た 言 T 70 3 n O は 红 力 居 14 配 逖 齋 湿 前 0 LI

P

あ

b

力之

1

-3"

國

杜

本

述

じ

決に 調 定遂 等 3 1 7. 0 n货 南 現 7 め 政 智 た た 見 所塘 府 苗 3 位 r あ 脇 :7 定 4 至 12 = な 荒 4 水 をか 酸 陸 衰た 軍 大 し併 iz L F. 1.5 即 O 内 ち O 外 國 **防** 五 0) 平 安 相 全 富 和 隐 意 定と r 0) 45 资 和方 カ 針 確 は 立。の 弘 國 製 共 除 凋

電協が

+ + + = 五 10 直 正 相 昭 河 昭 實 盡 3 15 0 な K 官 樣 政 和 後 VC 0 7 水 和 n 5 か 聽 邸 + VC 72. 策 八 2 內 林 殘 72. 樣 72 居 it 澤 誤 \$ 閣 陸 念 0) 3 H 寸 10 から 年 Tc. 7 な 重 3 川 山 解 決 國 七 VC 相 专 2 切 Tc. 年 だ 談 島 際 復 2 具 0) あ L. 行 月 0 7 O 治 大 TL 話体 前 杨 極 其 な 國 壁 7 歸 辭 n 7 年 7 中"案 相 居 發 調 右 職 0 < た 2 72. 策 VC を を 六 覺 的 0 問 は 爲 7 为言 Я た て 次 示訪 態 多 題 東 愈 專 人 L 人 at 7) 0 し」問 t 於 洋 括 件 K 废 自 內 玄 4 4 其 営 1 起 惡 L を VC 分 0 閣 藤 退 B 1 \$i 斷直 此 係 手 0 前 消 任 時 r 9 は 內 て 行 接 直 0 者 緩 彼 途 克. 閣 認 VC 為 7 政 倒 175 聖 行 接 は 7 贷 为言 な 先 L n n 7. 20 逮 要 動 件 準 案 之 7... 行 1 0 は 70 72. 7 求 O 励 捕 備 再 些 n K L 遂 熱 玄 7 選 r 於 0 3 3 び 海 急 病 7 行 6 2 末 彼 つ n は 施 床 72. 幹 n 陸 T 實 れ 8 た 幕 ٤ 部 T Tc. 强 相 護 行 袋 I 3 病 全 荒 件 0 市中 束 就 仓 之 氣 VC b は Ł 13 解 から 7 木 元 兵 任 衷 療 は熱 決 處 0) 0 木 僚 歐 is 蓥 泥 意 後 眞 を 懇 試 を 總 理 Ł 章 I 刺 ٤ か Tin. 川 並 重 7 共 件 云 請 合 缺 提 9 6 理 7 VC 首 出 島 K 臣 聖 は 3 必 歸 0 VC つ 藙 7/ 壁 荒 T 配 京 醜 其 之 E 知 n 之 軍 0 態 0 殺 木 遂 L て V 70. す 大 部 害 感 ~ VC 後 3 陸 办 て 70 0 善 0) 將 激 受 誠 居 荒 限 內 0 0) 相 五 處 彼 0 統 0 諾 意 9 相 0 か 後 計 72 木 を

對

其

は

0)

會

12

要

領

た

畫

陸

ら廟

た. 直 Ł 私接の宣言 も助合精木亭 當のを 神大件 時青 断日將は 其 年 本 7 感將た じ校 神來 は達斯訓で重 既かく 話貰大 VC しを 6 聽 持忌 7 つ避穏 4 相 さ健 2 7 居れ中氣 72 て正 が居のがい 此た荒 鈍 旨 話事木 6 % でが大か流 6 ~ 享明將 質 版 (O 3V お思 きと æ 確 れ想度彼 20 7 居格 8 事たがいっか 旣一 4 · 75's 語にと 出 木質 來 ら彼荒大 たれ等木將容

1

1

八の流木のなせ々七 をて事ず病に一なっ貴大 ・僧陸に で校笪度けたを 荒のは切るね海 断札とば草特む草はるの事身れが貧又 るニ ば荒 彩 ã. る本 乎を見日牧に 人 I 挺 な木て島 と出て支援思道 9 身 50 龖 時 中弱 の放の云の 官 5 1 現陸 B す其 國 00 AS ふ 異 爱 てぬ彼役草 全局 起 意 逐 毁 處 7 ٤ 譽のつ、辞 善現 0 を大 面證 見 せ す 全三理 とため後役信退日 兵日に的がの でんを は ح 意他批て 處 を念 < ょ 苦戰決は 3 な 深 傳 間 カ つ欲 とは評談置 去に で心争す 上 壓っ 老 し焼を備 引停 8 海たす VC n ょ VC な木耳役努は Ł 上戦て發 と 等 る 9 \$ 見 料 にお展非愛 力 0 1 warr 2 ZŽ'A た 0 5 げ せ く意 てなたす常 這人湿 上加 n 私だ 0 75 見 世つ最る 入 ょ ての 5 75 時 0 400 征 72 は 9 とよ如が後 3 間た初處決 で 減 2 で 5 5 763 れ反い 3 岛 見る を停 はれ意 la la 机炼洲 岛 岛荒た 對 5 混り 時 意戦思が 1 で 3 かし VC 2 5 る 木 LA 飢 六 後 悪か後 \$ 3 \$ T 72 者前がは此たふ の大 し程様る 非 侵居 影 2 は者 實 地 のが 場將役 \$ 略た 常 響 72 R 1c -T 荒は任位寧友の合が大 をがのな歩此に な 木荒風にに で K -E 憂 ٨ 後記 ら誤 3 及 が木念戀 と度は 封の 次 日嗣なれ 件 Fat. ٤ 15 そに 随を旺 L 削い かば 老 K 5 そ 4 L 垣 此盛 御其部 T 20 ٤ 72 3 れる つ大急 て 72 私に最泰手草 3 た髪速 3 w ,C 感 時がっ 25 公稳混 恥 は よ後 去に 國 Ľ は支た がなに 72 を飢 那が最事終が 堂 9 迄 3 る辣の知 流 金 じ大ら草遂今し 石一荒後に ら愈 查 受

同

り俟殂農のけ 持つつ 夫皇る てと道 洲と 昭 でい居のを 和 立 勝ふる自説 -: 手高が話き + な尚彼の皇 1 行なの場準な 年 面 動荒映面 前 を木高 す粉を銀 九 テ る特見座に他 宣 四 等のた街力の 七 と思感頭を新 は想じの入園 且 凡がは當れ看 ッ 独日時ての 者 月 そ 省 反く卒の遊人 對胸の日べ差 + 捺 のに難 本たも 即 感迫局 の専同 H シ じるを額と感 B を支訴 廢 映 於 N 與でへ光窗 7 へ他 て景でつ r た國其がはた 力。 フ もを反今劇荒 證 の侵省 日の木 明 目尚將が で略 し見頭校持 数 るたをにと節

良 ヲ 醫 心 フ = 從 ۲ 眞 宜 實 ヲ 述 晉 ~ 何 暑名 爭 旨 ヲ 祭印 Ŧ 默 秘 ス ズ 等 叉 何 事 A ラモ 附 カ 加 -12 ザ 榮 ル 7 ŀ

10

PURL: http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8bbb13/